## **Minutes of the Morley Memorial Full Governing Body**

12 May 2016

Attendance: Anna Robinson (AR) Apologies: Marc Neesam (MN)
Caroline Louth (CL) Sarah Smalley (SSm)

Guy Turner (GT) Melissa Ward (MW) Nicky Odgers (NO) (Chair)

Nikki Brown (Head) Venue:

Philip Colligan (PC)
Ruth Kershner (RK) School
Sarah Seed (SS)

Shareta Passingham (SP) Emma Linney (EL) (Clerk)

	Notes	Actions
1.	Apologies	
	Apologies were received and accepted from MN and SSm.	
2.	Notice of AOB	
	Head: thank you to GBs.	
3.	Correspondence	
	The Chair had received an email from the Head of Chesterton College suggesting they meet , which she would follow up and feed back on.	
4.	Membership and training	
	<u>Feedback from training attended:</u> RK had attended the termly briefing for governors. She fed back on discussions regarding supporting and monitoring vulnerable groups, developments in SEND funding and	

	statutory assessments and on the Cambridge School Improvement Board.	
	<u>Consideration of 2 candidate statements (circulated)</u> : NO introduced statements from two prospective candidates, Jonathan Gorrie and Rachel Williams, both of whom had been introduced to the School via SGoss (School Governors One Stop Shop). NO and PC had met both candidates and highly recommended them both.	
	The GB was in agreement that both candidates had much to offer the GB, and that their skills would fill current gaps in experience on the resources committee, particularly in the areas of financial management (Jonathan) and project management and building redevelopment (Rachel). <b>The GB decided</b> to appoint both candidates, subject to the receipt of satisfactory references. The Candidates would require DBS checks to be completed within 21 days of appointment.	Chair: obtain references for both candidates.
	Committee membership: Both Committees would now be well skilled following the appointment of the candidates above. NO would be re-assessing link governor roles shortly.	Chair: Link governor roles.
	DBS: The GB noted the new requirement to obtain enhanced DBS clearance for all GBs. The Chair asked GBs to present their ID to the school office this term in order for checks to be back by the deadline of 1 September. The Clerk had circulated a list of required ID prior to the meeting. It was noted that as new GBs, Jonathan and Rachel would require DBS checks to be completed within 21 days of appointment.	
5.	Minutes of FGB meeting 8 Feb 2016 (circulated) and matters arising	
	KIT report (item 5, pp.2): The Head had circulated the latest KIT report via email. GBs agreed that it provided a helpful summary of where the school was when measured against the Ofsted framework.	
	Governance day (item 5, pp.2): The date of the next Governance monitoring day would be 28 June. A timetable would follow.	
	Staff wellbeing questionnaire (item 6, pp.4): The Head had decided that conducting a survey now would not give a true reflection of how staff were feeling about teaching. After some discussion the GB decided to conduct the survey in the week before the autumn half term and again in the summer term. In addition the	Carry forward staff wellbeing survey.

	GB asked the Head to let staff know that wellbeing was a concern, and to let them know of plans to survey staff in the autumn term. RK offered to assist the Head with methodology.	
	School values (item 11, pp.6): Children had not yet been consulted, but this would be undertaken during school council sessions this term. The Head noted that the school's values were now included on the celebration tree certificates issued each week and that this had raised awareness in school about what the school's values were.	Clerk – upload minutes to school website
	The minutes were agreed as a true record and signed by the Chair.	
6.	Committee minutes (circulated)	
	Resources committee 5 February and 15 March 2016: PC highlighted key discussions and activity, including the approval of the budget at the more recent meeting on 3 May. A financial audit had raised a number of issues which would be addressed in an action plan being drafted by Tim Fox and which would be presented to GBs later in the term. There were no questions or comments.	
	<u>Learning committee 4 February 2016</u> : NO highlighted key discussions and activity. There were no questions or comments.	
7.	Head's report (circulated)	
	The Head presented her report and highlighted key points.	
	Attendance:	
	EY attendance was very poor before Easter due to sickness, although it was also noted that historically attendance drops just before or after school holidays, particularly in EY.	
	Attendance of FSM children was still lower than the school average and it was difficult to address this. The LA Education Welfare Officer only becomes involved once attendance drops below 80%, which the Head felt was too late, and that welfare support for families where there were housing or mental health issues was not sufficient and that this was having a detrimental impact on children's achievement.	

A GB asked whether unauthorised absence for holidays was still an issue. The Head answered that this type of absence had the biggest impact on attainment and was incredibly disruptive. Those authorities issuing fines had not seen much of an improvement.

## Data:

The Head talked through a presentation using data produced by Target Tracker, which, in the absence of official national data, offered a useful way to compare school data with the 25,000 schools using the software.

- National indicators would not be available until the end of the summer term with the SATs results.
- Broadly, Reading and Maths was outperforming Writing, and Girls were outperforming Boys except in Y1 and Y5.
- The Head reminded the GB of the new requirement for children to be secure in all aspects of the
  curriculum, rather than broadly across most areas, and of the impact this was having on SEND children
  in particular. She anticipated in a drop in ARE security, particularly in writing. She felt that this would
  exacerbate differences between boys and girls, which had always been there, and would push more
  boys into the lower bands.
- A GB asked why some year groups were making better progress than others. The Head answered that progress was difficult to monitor due to big changes in demands made of children in each year group. This was least noticeable in Y1 and most noticeable in Y2 and Y3 where some aspects of the curriculum now sit a whole year earlier.
- There are still considerable issues around reliability of data, and this would be the case until a full year had passed. Work was being done to help teacher moderation, particularly in Y4 where this would appear to be overly cautious.
- A governor asked how the school was monitoring to ensure that progress was being made despite the difficulties with reliability of data. The head said SLT had continued to conduct work scrutiny every three-four weeks. It was felt that spending time looking at children's books rather than data was the most reliable way to understand achievement and attainment. The GB asked that one of the next monitoring visits focused on this in order to gain a better understanding of the systems in place.

Monitoring visit on work scrutiny.

## Quality of Teaching:

Head: circulate presentation on data

	The report showed consistent good practice across the school, which the GB welcomed. The Head felt that recent assessment had raised issues around differentiation, particularly in science and she felt that this ought to form part of next year's SDP.  Building and premises:  There were no comments or questions.	
	Staffing:	
	There were no questions or comments.	
8/9.	School development plan monitoring report and visit reports (circulated)	
	The GB discussed each of the key priorities in turn, together with the relevant GB monitoring report. The GB thanked the Head for the well-presented report, which was clear on actions and priorities.	
	Improving pupil outcomes  MW and RK had not yet completed a monitoring visit. There were no questions or comments.	
	Developing Leadership and management capacity CL and SSm had completed a monitoring visit. Recruitment had been successful, particularly to the AHT post. Katy Kowalska was being successful in her new AHT role, particularly in leading on the curriculum. A GB asked whether teacher specialisms were identified in order to recruit into any gaps. The Head advised that difficulties around recruitment meant that this was rarely possible, however there were gaps in modern foreign languages and literacy in particular. Teachers were surveyed each February and their specialism and interests taken into account when planning SL for the coming year, however it was not always possible to award subject leadership to a graduate in that area. She noted that the Cambridgeshire budget did not allow for TLR payments to be awarded to SLs, and that limited budgets meant that it was not usually possible to release SLs from lessons.	
	Developing the curriculum	

11.	Academisation (briefing paper circulated)	
11	There was a discussion about how best to help Y6 children with the sometimes-difficult transition to secondary school.	
	The GB thanked the Head for the revised format report which it was felt would greatly assist with monitoring PP children's progress and attainment. Members of the RC also noted that the new PP budget code was also helpful. It had not been possible to offer music tuition due to a shortage of teachers at Cambridgeshire Music. Some of the money allocated to this was now being spent on breakfast club attendance, and on the cost of the Y6 residential trip to Aylmerton.	
10.	Pupil Premium children (monitoring report and visit report circulated)	
	about the need to ask children very precise questions in order to get useful answers.  To improve premises and facilities  A monitoring visit would be taking place in the next week and would be followed up by the Resources  Committee.	Pupil voice survey results to next FGB.
	Behaviour, safety and well being AR and SP had conducted a monitoring visit. There had been lots of new initiatives and children were very positive regarding relationships and who to talk to if they were unhappy. There was a query around Premier Sport lunchtime provision and whether bad behaviour was being tackled consistently. The Head felt that sport leaders were given sufficient guidance to deal with this. It was worrying that 40% of children felt that bullying was a problem, although teaching staff would not agree with this figure. The GB asked for the results of the next pupil survey to be presented to the GB in order to monitor this. There was a discussion	
	NO and SS had conducted a monitoring visit. Medium-term planning was still a work in progress, and there was an issue around where planning was saved so that it was accessible to all. Some areas felt underdeveloped, including computing which was on the SDP. Similarly with Modern Foreign Languages, due to teaching currently being outsourced to the Parkside Federation. The Head noted that end of year self evaluation would help shape priorities for the next school year.	

	It was noted that the political agenda had changed since papers were prepared for the meeting and that schools would need to convert to academies where the LA provision was failing or were it was no longer sustainable for the LA to support schools who had not converted. There was a discussion around what a good MAT would look like, with the GB in agreement with the Head that this should include commonalities in vision, size and geography. The GB decided to remain open to good opportunities and continue the
	discussion at a later date, possibly at further 'visions and values' day in the Autumn term.
12.	EPM contract renewal
	<b>The GB authorised</b> the extension of the contract by a further year at a cost of £5,143 which included HR and payroll services.
13.	Year 6 residential trip to Aylmerton, 10-13 June (report circulated)
	There were no questions or comments. The GB approved the trip.
14.	Disciplinary Procedures – Leadership Group, and Non-Leadership Group (circulated)
	These had been discussed and agreed at RC in February, but legislation required ratification at FGB. There were no questions or comments. <b>The GB ratified</b> both policies, which would be reviewed again in two years.
15.	Finance
	The GB ratified the school budget plan for 2016-17, approved by the Resources Committee on 3/05/16.  Total spend £1,804,333.
	The GB agreed to authorise expenditure of £12,000 for the relocation of bike racks. This had been previously discussed by the RC on 15/03/16 and 03/05/16.
	In the light of the recent financial audit, the GB ratified the Resource Committee's terms of reference, previously ratified by FGB on 01/10/16.

	The GB received and agreed to adopt Cambridgeshire County Council Scheme for Financial Schools, Contract Regulations for Schools and Financial Regulations for Schools.	
16.	AOB	
	The Head extended thanks to CL for looking at test administration during SATs week and AR for joining the panel in the recent round of teaching staff recruitment.	
	The meeting opened at 7.30pm and closed at 9.45pm	
	Next meeting: 14 July 2016	

All decisions pay due regard to the school's equality policy and the Equality Act 2010

**Abbreviations**: GB – a governor/the governing body, DDP – delegated decision planner, SEND – special educational needs and disabilities, RAP – raising achievement plan, EY – early years, RC – Resources Committee, SL – subject leader, Floor Standards – basic minimum achievement, NC – national curriculum, ARE – age related expectations, PP – pupil premium, SLT – senior leadership team, KS1 – Key Stage 1, KS2 – Key Stage 2, KIT – Keeping in Touch visit, TLR – teaching and learning responsibility.